Scott. H-27—40,000—14-10-36.

E and Ph (1937) Department.

Res SERIES.

G.O. No. 2013 PH

DATED 12.8.37

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Institutions
Rural (Subsidised) Sonta
Kanara DC — dispensary
at Kumgal closure
Ordered

IRD 1st etc

C.F 30.

L.F. DEPARTMENT.

Received 19



Registered 19

Subject.

Medical Institutions . proposals for the transfer of certain Medical institutions - Report submitted- orders requested.

ENCLOSURES.
No. One.
.....
Spare copies.....

Ref. No. 8544/A4/36.

Station Mangalore, , *Dated the* 3- 8- 1936

B.A.B.L.

From (Name) M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avl.,

(Designation) President, District Board, S.Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health .. Departmen

Madras.

Sir,

(U)

With reference to G.O.No. Ms. 339 Education and Public Health dated 8-5-1936, I have the honour to state that while I met the District Medical officer, South Kanara on 23-7-1936, in connection with the proposals for the utilisation of the Government grant for the improvement of the Medical institutions, he was of opinion that the L.F.Dispensary at Barkur should be transferred to Brahmawar and that the Rural dispensary at Kunjal to Barkur. I agreed with the District Medical Officer.

2. But subsequently, the District Board

P.T.O.

at its Meeting held on 25-7-1936, resolved to retain the rural dispensary at Kunjal there only - vide District Board resolution No. 121 dated 25-7-1936 (copy enclosed).

3. The District Medical officer also in his letter R.No. 60-3S/36 dated 24-7-1936, to the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras, has furnished his proposals in the matter. His letter may kindly be perused by the Government.

I request that orders deemed fit may be passed on the subject.

Your obedient servant,

PRESIDENT,
Dt.Board, S.Kanara.

DJK/4.8.36

compd. 4.8.36.

Extract from the proceedings of the Meeting of the South Kanara District Board, dated 25-7-1936.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avl., B.A., B.L., President,
2. A.C.Gonsalves Esquire, B.A., B.L., Vice President.
3. M.R.Ry M.Shiva Rao Avl.,
4. " M.Sadashiva Rao Avl., B.A., B.L.,
5. " S.Chinnappa Gowda Avl., B.A., B.L.,
6. " B.Shivarama Shetty Avl., B.A., B.L.,
7. " K.J.Ballal Avl., B.A., LL.B.,
8. Janab B.L.Ahmad Saheb Bahadur
9. M.R.Ry B.Venkappa Rai Avl.,
10. " K.Venkappa Shetty Avl.,
11. Mrs. S.Sushila Bai, Udpa.
12. Mrs. Chennamma
13. M.R.Ry P.Vittal Bhandary Avl.,
14. " A.B.Shetty Avl., M.L.C.
15. " Balkatta Krishnaya Hegde Avl.,
16. " K.Ishwara Avl.,
17. " Madara Avl.,
18. " B.Mangesh Rao Avl.,
19. " A.Venkappa Rai Avl.,
20. " B.Krishnaya Hegde Avl.,
21. Janab Muhammad Sherule Saheb Bahadur.
22. M.R.Ry K.Sadashiva Adiga Avl., B.A., B.L.,
23. " P.G.Achar Avl.,
24. M.S.Shreshta Esquire, M.L.C.
25. M.R.Ry K.Vittal Shetty Avl.,
26. Janab Ch.Kunhi Kaladar Beary Saheb Bahadur
27. M.R.Ry K.Raghuchandra Ballal Avl.,
28. " P.Sreevarma Shetty Avl.,
29. " S.Anthaya Shetty Avl.,
30. " B.Vaikunta Baliga Avl., B.A., B.L.
31. " H.Bhujanga Ballal Avl.,

OFFICIAL OF THE DISTRICT 25 AUG 1936

32. M.R.Ry V.Ramanna Shetty Avl., B.A., B.L.,

33. " N.Manjappa Adyanthaya Avl.,

34. " K.Deju Shetty Avl.,

35. " T.Lakkanna Shenova Avl..

36. Mrs. Sanjivi alias Manorama Bai.

37. M.R.Ry B.Manjaya Hegde Avl., B.A., B.L.,

38. " H.Dasanna Alva Avl., B.A., B.L.,

39. " Kodath Kunhambu Nair Avl.,

x x x

121. Read G.O.No. Ms. 339 Education and P.H., dated 8-5-36, commending the Surgeon General's proposal to transfer the rural dispensary at Kunjal to Brahmawar and requesting the President to report to Government in due course the action taken by the District Board on the Surgeon General's recommendation.

Also petition of the residents of Brahmawar to open a dispensary at Brahmawar for the reasons stated therein and also petition from the residents of Kunjal requesting to retain the existing dispensary at Kunjal for the reasons stated therein.

Resolution: May be retained at Kunjal. Government will be addressed.

(sd) B.Mahabala Hegde
President.

/True extract/

Station - Mangalore.)
)
Dated 3-8-1936)

PRESIDENT,
Dt.Board, S.Kanara.

DJK/4.8.36

compd. 4.8.36.

C.F. 32.

please quote number, date and short title

5 Medical DEPARTMENT.

*Received*_____ *Registered*_____

From (Name) M.R.Ry., H.R.Sadashiva Rao Avl., L.M.S

(Designation) District Medical Officer, S. Kanara

Post Box_____, (STATION) Mangalore

*Telegraphic Address*_____

To

The Personal Assistant to the Surgeon-General
with the Govt. of Madras,
Madras.

R *No.* 60-3 S/36 *dated* 24-7-1936 *19*

Sir

ENCLOSURES_____

SPARE COPIES_____

*Ref:- Your circular No.Ref 738-1H dated 1st May 1936 Govt. of India grant for rural development for 1936-37-utilisation.

I am enclosing herewith the requirements of mufassal Hospitals and Dispensaries which need attention as regards the proposed expenditure mentioned in the said letter. I cannot but stress the necessity for making Brahmawar a centre for a Local Fund Dispensary on account of its importance. It is a centre for all busses coming from Agumbe, Udipi, Coondapoor, Barkur, Shankernarayana etc. There is also a port called Hungercutta nearby. Here there is a police station // Abkari office and Sub Registrar's Office. The place is separated from Coondapoor and Udipi by big rivers. At present there is no dispensary in this place. It passes my comprehension how an important place like this escaped the attention of the previous Local Fund authorities though my predecessors recommended it as a place which needed a hospital A plot of land is required for putting up a building for a dispensary. The land will not cost anything at all as any amount of Government land is available. The Local Fund dispensary at Barkur may be transferred to this place and the President District Board agrees with me in this suggestion. In that case the rural dispensary at Kunjal may be moved to Barkur. This arrangement is quite necessary in the interest of both the places

Brahmawar and Barkur. There is no need to retain the rural dispensary at Kunjal which is only 3 miles from Brahmawar. This proposal goes against G.O.No.339 dated 8th May 1936 communicated in your proceedings In R.No.415-H dated 13-5-1936 which will have to be modified accordingly. Rs. 12000/- have been put down as the approximate cost of a hospital of 8 beds at Brahmawar.

It was not possible to send rough sketches and plans for all the dispensaries and hospitals mentioned in the annexure as some medical officers have mentioned that they could not secure the services of any overseer in time. When conclusions are reached by the Government in respect of the amount proposed to be alloted to this district and as to the institutions selected for improvement, sketches and plans will be sent up with exact estimates .

Sd/ H.R. Sadashiva Rao
District Medical Officer,
S. Kanara.

(True copy)

H.R. Sadashiva

District Medical Officer.
South Kanara

29/8/36

Mangalore
29-8-1936

Edu & P. H. dept.

Copied 19/8

19/8/36

19/8

Medical Institution. proposals for the transfer of certain Medica Institutions. ~~Report submitted Orders requested.~~

C. No 7581. From the P. D. B. S. Kanara No 8544/A4/36

Memo 7581-1 D2 P.H. Dated 18-8-36.

Referred to S. G. for remarks

~~The~~ He is also requested (to submit ~~to~~ a copy ~~for record~~) the letter of the D.M.O. South Kanara No. 60-35/36 dated 24-7-1936. ~~with his remarks~~ referred to in para 3 of the President's letter.

Annexes — Vide p. 5 ante ~~page 9 C.F.~~

VH 17/8.

17.8.36.

18/8

To The S. G.

(with the C.F in original to be returned with reply) ~~for perusal and return~~

Rd by [illegible]

18/8

Education & Public Health DEPARTMENT. P 2



Received 19 SEP 1936 19 *Registered* 19

10463

Subject.

Medical Institutions - South Kanara District - Transfer of certain institutions - Proposal of the President, District Board - Remarks submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

R. No. 1552-H.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 7th/16 September 1936.

From (Name) Lieut. Col. Clive Newcomb, I.M.S.,

(Designation) Offg. Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

p. 7 note

Reference:- Government Memorandum No.7581-1-D2.P.H. dated 18th August 1936.

The District Medical Officer, South Kanara who was referred to in the matter reports that he agrees with the proposal of the President, District Board, in transferring the Local Fund Dispensary at Barkur to Brahmawar and shifting the provision for the rural dispensary at Kunjal to Barkur. I agree with the District Medical Officer.

2. The letter ~~A copy of letter~~ No.R.60-3.S/36 dated 24th July 1936 of the District Medical Officer, South Kanara is also

VSA 21/9

forwarded. In this letter the District Medical Officer states that Kunjal is only 3 miles from Brahmawar where it is proposed to shift the Local Fund Dispensary at Barkur. As such there appears to be no need for a rural dispensary at Kunjal and the provision for the rural dispensary there may be transferred to Barkur.

3. The enclosures are returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Lieut.-Col. I.M.S., 15 Sept. 36

Offg. Surgeon-Genl. with the Govt. of Madras.

k.k. 10-9.

10/

Edu & P.H. dept

I

C. No. 7581. From the P.D.B. South Kanara

No 8544/A4/36 dt 3-8-36.

II

C. No. 10463 From the S. G.

No 1552-H dt 7-9-36.

Subject:- Medl. Institutions — South Kanara Dt. Bd. — Proposals for transfer.

Memo. 7581-2-D2 P.H dt 8-10-36.

The S. G. Suggests that the L. F. dispensary at Barkur may be transferred to Brahmawar and the rural dispensary at Kunjal to Barkur. The P. D. B. South Kanara is requested to place this proposal before the Dt. Bd. and submit a copy of its resolution to the Govt.

page 8 C.F

2. The transfer of the rural disp at Kunjal was suggested in G. O. No. 64 P.H. dt 10-1-35 on account of the low daily average attendance. The P.D.B. is informed that if the Dt. Bd persists in retaining the rural

dispensary at Kunjal the Govt. ~~may order that the payment of~~ will be constrained to Consider the question of withholding the ~~may not be forced to withhold.~~ paid from Road funds ~~the~~ subsidy for the rural Medl. practitioner at Kunjal. ~~should be withheld.~~

To The P. D. B. South Kanara (agt LO-II room) S.G. (Room)

VA 5/10

5/10

Remind on 18/12

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 21 10 19



Subject.

~~Medical~~ Institutions. Dispensaries at Barkur and Kunjal- Retention of the existing dispensaries requested.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Reference No. 8544/ A4/36

Station Mangalore , *Dated the* 21st December 1936.

From (Name) M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B. Mahabala Hegde Avar-~~gal, B.A.,B.L.,~~

(Designation) President, District Board, South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.

M a d r a s.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No 7581/2 /D2 P.H.dated 8.10.1936, I have the honour to submit a copy of District Board Resolution No 32 dated 21.11.1936 as re--quested therein.

2. In view of the policy of the Government to increase dispensaries in the district, the transfers of the dispen--saries in question are not advisable. I request that the Government may be pleased to issue orders for the retention of the existing dispensaries at Barkur and Kunjal. The ----

question of shifting them to other centres may kindly be dropped.

Your obedient Servant,

President.

Copy to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras with a copy of the District Board Resolution No 32 dated ------- 21.11.1936.

Do to the District Medical Officer, South Kanara.

T.A.S.21/12 23.12.36.

Extract from the Proceedings of the meeting of the District Board of South Kanara, dated 21st November 1936.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal., B.A..B.L., Present.
2. A. C. Gonsalves Esquire, B.A.,B.L., Vice-President.
3. M.R.Ry M.Shiva Rao Avargal.,
4. Do M. Sadashiva Rao " B.A.,B.L.,
5. Do S. Chinnappa Gowda Avargal., B.A.,B.L.,
6. M.R.Ry B. Shivarama Shetty " B.A.,B.L.,
7. Do E, Venkappa Shetty Avargal
8. Mrs Chennamma.
9. Mrs S. Sushila Bai Udpa.
10. M.R.Ry K.J.Ballal Avargal., B.A.,B.L.,
11. Dr P. G. Achar
12. M.R.Ry K. Anantha Shetty Avargal.
13. Do Belanje Krishnaya Hegde "
14. Do Balkatte Krishnaya Hegde "
15. Do B. Venkappa Rai Avargal
16. Do A. Venkappa Rai "
17. Dr P. Vittal Bhandary
18. Janab Mahomed Sherule Saheb Bahadur
19. Janab Kunhikalanda Beary Saheb Bahadur
20. M.R.Ry T.Lakkanna Shenava Avargal
21. Do Kodoth Kunhambu Nair "
22. Do K. Krishna Bhat Avargal
23. Do K. Deju Shetty "
24. Do N.Manjappa Adyanthaya "
25. Do P. Sreevarma Shetty Avargal
26. Do K. Raghuchendra Ballal "
27. Mrs S.Sanjivi alias Manorama Bai
28. M.R.Ry A.B.Shetty Avargal., M.L.C.
29. Do Madara Avargal.
30. Do B. Mangesha Rao Avargal
31. Do K. Sadashiva Adiga " B.A.,B.L.,
32. Do M.S.Shresta Avl. M.L.C.,

33. Dr K. Vittal ~~Bhandary~~ Shetty.

34. M.R.Ry H.Bhujanga Ballal Avargal

35. Do B. Manjaya Hegde Avargal

36. Do H.Dasanna Alva "

37. Do S. Anthayya Shetty "

38. Janab K.Abdulla Kunhi Saheb Bahadur.

39. M.R.Ry T. Unhi Krishnan Tirumampu Avargal.,

---

32. Read District Board Resolution No 121 dated 25.7.1936 resolving to retain the existing dispensary at Kunjal though recommended by the Surgeon-General to be transferred to Brahmavar and commended in G. O. No. 339 Education and Public Health dated 8.5.1936.

Read also President's letter Ref. No. 8544/A4/36 dated 3.8.1936 to Government requesting to issue necessary orders on the subject.

Read also Government Memorandum No. 7581/2 D 2 P.H. dated 8.10.1936 requesting the President to place before the District Board ~~that~~ the proposals of the Surgeon-General to the effect that the L. F. Dispensary at Barkur may be transferred to Brahmavar and the Rural Dispensary at Kunjal to Barkur and that if the District Board persists in retaining the Rural Dispensary at Kunjal, Government will be constrained to consider the question of with-holding the subsidy paid from Provincial Funds for the Rural Medical Practitioner at Kunjal.

Resolution.

The Board resolves that the Barkur Dispensary at Barkur may be retained there only.

As far as Kunjal is concerned the dispensary must be retained there only. If under any circumstances it cannot

be retained there, it may be shifted to Perdur.

x x

True Extract

President, District Board,
South Kanara.

T.A.S.22/12

2312-36

12/1

C.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* -SEP 1937 19

Subject.

Medical Institutions - Dispensaries at Barkur and Kunjal-- Retention of the existing dispensaries - Requested.

2 1 4

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref. No. 8544/A4/36.

Station Mangalore, S.Kanara *Dated the* 30th January 1937

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A., B.L.,
(Designation) President, District Board, South Kanara

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department.
M a d r a s.

Sir,

I request that the Government may be pleased to issue early orders on my Ref.No.8544/A4/36, dated 21.12.36 on the subject referred to above.

p. 12 ante

Your obedient servant,

Officer on tour

For President,
S. K. District Board.

KGB 2.2.37
Compd.
3.2.37.

KGB/BL

Education dept.

Medical Institutions - Rural (Subsidised) South Kanara D - Transfer of the provision for the dispensary at Kungal to some other suitable centre

---

C no 2194. From the DDB. S Kanara

8544/A-4/36 & 307-37

Memo. 7581-4 (32) DH & 12/2/37:

The DDB. South Kanara is informed that the transfer of the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to some suitable centre other than Perdur is under consideration and that the S.C.'s report in the matter is awaited.

13.2.37

10

The DDB South Kanara

Copy to the SG.

C.F. 30.

Education and Public Health DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19.. *Registered* 5717 19

Subject.

Medical institutions - rural (subsidised) South Kanara District - transfer of the provision of the dispensary at Kunjal to Tricarpur - recommended -

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. No. 1552-Hospitals.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 5th April 19 37

From (Name) Major-General Sir J.P.Connor, Kt., D.S.O., K.H.S., I.M.S.,
(Designation) Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

Ref:-Education & Public Health Department Memo. No.7581-4-D-2 P.H., dated 18-2-1937.

In continuation of my No.Ref.1552-H., dated 15-1-1937, I have the honour to state that the District Medical Officer, South Kanara was requested to submit proposals for the transfer of the provision of the subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal to some other suitable place.

2. The District Medical Officer recommends that the subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal be transferred to

to Tricarpur which has a population of 10,710. The nearest dispensary to Tricarpur in South Kanara District is Local Fund dispensary Hosdrug which is about sixteen miles distant while the dispensary in Malabar district nearest to Tricarpur is at Payyanur which is about three miles distant from Tricarpur. Since the population of Tricarpur is 10,710 the District Medical Officer is of opinion that it deserves a separate dispensary in spite of the fact that Payyanur is only 3 miles distant.

3. I agree with the District Medical Officer and recommend the transfer of the provision of the rural dispensary at Kunjal to Tricarpur.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Major-General I.M.S.,

Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

Edn & PH Dept

Medical Institutions - Rural (Subsidised) South Kanara Dt. - Transfer of the provision for the dispensary at Kumgal to some other centre

---

From the P D B. South Kanara Ref No 8544/A-4/36 dt 21.12.36

From the S.G. No 1552 Hospls dt 5/7.4.37

Memo. 5717-1(2) PH dt 14.4.37

The P D B. South Kanara is informed that the Govt are unable to accept the proposal of the Dt Bd to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kumgal to Perdur, as the rural dispensary which existed at Perdur previously was closed on account of low attendance. The S.G recommends the transfer of the provision to Tricarpur which has a population of 10,710. It is considered that in view of this large population a separate dispensary is necessary at the above place even though the dispensary at Payyanur is ~~only~~

at a distance of only three miles from Tricarpur.
The P. DB. South Kanara is requested to report whether the Dr Bd agrees to the S.A's proposal.

8.4.37

5/4

8/4/37

8.4.37

To

The P DB South Kanara

DEPARTMENT

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

7 JUN 37

Subject.

Medical Institutions- Rural-South Kanara District- transfer of the provisions for the dispensary at Kunjal to Hiriadka- opinion of the District Board- furnished.

ENCLOSURES.
No. ...
Spare copies ...

Ref. No. 8544/ A4/36

Station Mangalore, *Dated the* 2nd June 1937 19

From (Name) M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A.,B.L.,

(Designation) President,District Board,

South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.

M a d r a s.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No 5717/1 D 2 P.H.dated 10.4.1937, I have the honour to state that the District Board in its Resolution No 19 dated 15.5.1937 (copy enclosed) proposes to transfer the Rural Dispensary from Kunjal to Hiriadka.

2. The proposal of the District Board for opening a new rural dispensary at Hiriadka was reported to Government in this Office Ref. C. No. 5710/A.4.35 dated 16.8.1935 ,but in Government Order No. 2578 P.H.dated 2.10.1935 orders were issued to the effect that the Government were unable to make any provision in the civil budget estimate for 1936- 1937 for the payment of subsidies for ---

new rural dispensaries. The District Medical Officer, South Kanara in his R. No. 1180/2 /D. 35 dated 29.10.1935 (copy enclosed) stated that he had no objection to locate a rural dispensary at Hiriadka-- on account of its density of population and as other dispensaries are more than 12 miles away from Hiriadka . p25 infra

2. With regard to the recommendations of the Surgeon-General to transfer the rural dispensary from Kunjal to Trikarpur I have to point out that there is already a proposal for the opening of a new dispensary at Trikarpur ; vide Government Memorandum No. 4731/1 D.2 P.H. dated 18.3.1937 and the District Board has resolved to -- make necessary provisions towards the cost of medicines to be --- supplied to this proposed dispensary. (V)

3. In these circumstances I request that the Government may--- be pleased to order the transfer of the Kunjal dispensary to ----- Hiriadka.

DSPAT
4 - JUN 1937

Your obedient Servant,

President.

Copy to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
Do to the District Medical Officer, South Kanara.

T.A.S. 2/6
3/6/37

Medical Department.

From

M. R.Ry H.R. Sadashiva Rao Avargal, L.M.& S.,
District Medical Officer, South Kanara,
Mangalore-

To

The President, District Board,
South Kanara, Mangalore.

R.No. 1180/2D/35 dated 29.10.35.

Sir,

Ref. Your letter Ref C. No. 5710/A4/35 dated 10.9.35 opening of new rural dispensaries- approval of sites.

I inspected Hiriadka on 24th instant along with Mr.P.G. Achar. We inspected some houses and sites for the location of a disp-pensary. There are some houses available ,one of which may be converted into a rural dispensary. Hiriadka is 8 miles from Udipi on the main line of bus route. On the northern side of Hiriadka there is Kunjal rural dispensary 14 miles from Hiriadka and Kokkarne 15 miles from Hiriadka. On the southern side of Hiriadka, Kaup and Shirwa 12 miles away from Hiriadka. The population of Hiriadka is 3000. Villages within a radius of 10 miles from Hiriadka-there are 25 to 30 villages containing an adequate population of 50,000 Within a radius of 5 miles from Hiriadka there are 12 to 15 villages having a population of nearly 30,000 . This information was given to me by Mr. P.G.Achar and may be verified. If this information is in accordance with facts I see no objection to locate a rural dispensary at Hiriadka.

Sd: x x x L.M.&S.,
Dt.Medical Officer,
South Kanara District.

Copy to the Tahsildar of Udipi Taluk for giving correct in-formation regarding the figures of villages and population.

True Copy

President, District Board,
South Kanara

3/6/37.

Extract from the Proceedings of the mecting of the District Board of South Kanara, dated 15th May 1937.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry A.C.Gonsalves Avargal, B.A.,B.L., Vice-President in the Chair.
2. Do B. Shivarama Shetty Avargal, B.A.,B.L.,
3. Do K.Venkappa Shetty "
4. Do A.B.Shetty Avargal., M.L.A.,
5. Dr P. Vittal Bhandary Avargal
6. M.R.Ry S.Chinnappa Gowda Avargal, B.A.,B.L.,
7. Do B,Mangesh Rao "
8. Do M. Sadashiva Rao Avargal., B A.,B.L.,
9. Dr. K.Vittal Shetty
10. M.R.Ry N.Manjappa Addyanthaya Avargal.
11. Do Madara Avargal
12. Mrs. P. Manorama Bai
13. M.R.Ry S. Anthayya Shetty Avargal.,
14. Do K. Anantha Shetty "
15. Do K. Sadashiva Adiga "B.A.,B.L.,
16. Do T. Unhikrishnan Tirumampu Avargal
17. Janab B.L.Ahmad Saheb Bahadur.
18. W.Jeffries Esquire.
19. M.R.Ry B.Venkappa Rai Avargal.
20. Do Balkatta Krishnaya Hegde "
21. Janab Ch.Kunhi Kalandar Saheb Bahadur
22. M.R.Ry K. Ishwara Avargal.,
23. Do B. Vaikunta Baliga Avargal., B.A.,B.L.,
24. Do P. Sreevarma Shetty "
25. Do K. Deju Shetty "
26. Do B.Mahabala Holla " B.A.,B.L.,
27. Do T. Lakkanna Shenava Avargal.,
28. Do Belanje Krishnaya Hegde "
29. Do H,Bhujanga Ballal Avargal.,
30. Dr P.Gururaja Achar

31. M.R.Ry H.Dasanna Alva Avargal.,B.A., B.L.,

32. Janab K. Abdulkunhi Saheb Bahadur.

33. M.R.Ry K. Raghuchendra Ballal Avargal.,

34. Mrs. S. Sushila Bai, Udpa.

---

19. Read District Board Resolution No 32 dated 21.11.1936 re-solving that the Rural Dispensary at Kunjal must be retained there only and that if under any circumstances it cannot be retained there it may be shifted to Perdur.

Read also Government Memorandum No 5717/1D2 P.H.dated 10.4.37 stating that the Government are unable to accept the proposals of the District Board to transfer the provisions for the subsidized rural dispensary at Kunjal to Perdur as the dispensary which existed at Perdur was closed on account of low attendance and requesting the President, District Board to report whether the District Board agrees to the Surgeon General's proposal to transfer the provisions to Trikarpur which has a population of 10710 and for the reasons stated therein.

Resolution. The Board proposes to transfer the dispensary from Kunjal to Hiriadka as it is an important centre with a large population and as the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, ~~himself recommends~~ has recommended the said place.

Sd: A.C-Gonsalves,
Vice-President,District
Board,South Kanara.

True Extract

President,District Board,
South Kanara

3/6/37.

Edn & PH Dept

Dn 11/6 23/12/36 + d Dn 12/6

Medical Institutions – Rural – South Kanara Dt. – Transfer of the provision for the dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka.

on the P.O.B. South Kanara.
No 8544/A.4/36 dt 2.6.37

Memo 5717-2 (D2) PH dt 11/6/37

The S.G is requested to offer his remarks on the proposal of the South Kanara Dt Bd to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka referred to in the letter read above, a copy of which is said to have been sent to him.

11/6

To
The S.G. (Q Town)

7/6/37
8.6.37

10/6

Despd

Esta. Paragraph

Medical Institutions - Rural - South Kanara Dt. - Transfer of the provision for the dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka

19/7/37

Dy 14/7

Hr 23-24 CF

From the DDB South Kanara
no 8544/A4/36 dt 2.6.37

Memo 5717-3 (A2) MH dt 14.7.37

The S.G's ~~is requested to~~ expedite his remarks on the proposal of the South Kanara DB Bd to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka referred to in the letter read above - a copy of which is said to have been ~~sent to him~~.

Dispd with Encl
14/7/37

13.7.37

13.7.37

10

The S.G. - (9 Forms) with copy of memo 5712-2(A2) MH dt 11.6.37

attention is invited to Memo No. 5717-2(A2) MH dt 11.6.37 and he is requested to expedite the submission of his remarks called for therein.

14/7

C.F. 30.

Education and Public Health DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

30 JUL 1937

Subject. 13317

Medical Institutions - Rural - South Kanara District Board - Transfer of the provisions for the dispensary at Kunjal to Hiriadka.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 1193-2 Hospitals.

Station Madras., *Dated the* 19th July, 19 37

From (Name) ~~Major-General Sir F.P. Connor, Kt., D.S.O., K.H.S., I.M.S.,~~
(Designation) ~~Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.~~

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.

Sir,

pray ante

Ref:- Government Memo No. 5717-3 D.2 P.H. dated 14-7-37.

A copy of letter R. 130 S/37 dated 20-7-37 from the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, is forwarded. I agree with the District Medical Officer.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

29.7.37

Personal Assistant
for Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

KDK 30/7

R.G./29-7.

P.T.O.

Copy of letter R. No. 130 S/37 dated 20-7-37 from the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, Mangalore.

Ref:- Your Memo Ref. No. 1193-1-H dated 16-7-37 regarding transferring rural dispensary at Kunjal to Hiriadka.

Tricarpur is more populous than Hiriadka. So, if a rural dispensary is going to be opened shortly at Tricarpur, there is no objection to the rural dispensary at Kunjal being shifted to Hiriadka Otherwise the dispensary at Kunjal should be shifted to Tricarpur.

Edu and P. H. dept

Subject :— Medl. Institutions – South Kanara Dt. Bd. – Proposals for transfer.

C. No 7581 From the P. D. B. South Kanara
No 8544/A4/36 dated 3-8-36.

8-9 C & C. No. 10463. From the Surgeon General
No 1552-H. dated 7-9-1936.

10. C & C No 11027 From the P. D. B. South Kanara
No 8544/A4/36 dated 16-9-36.

In G. O. No 389 P. H, dated 8-5-36, the S. G's suggestion for the transfer of the rural disp.y at Kungal to Brahmavar was commended to the South Kanara Dt. Bd. and the President was asked to report in due course the action taken by the Dt. Bd. in the matter. The ~~Dist~~. Dt. Bd. has decided to retain the rural dispensary at Kungal. The D. M. O. South Kanara and the S. G. are in favour of shifting the L. F. dispensary at Barkur to Brahmavar, and the rural dispensary at Kungal to Barkur. It is reported that Kungal is only 3 miles from Brahmavar and that if the L. F. disp. at Barkur is transferred to Brahmavar there is no need for a rural dispensary at Kungal

p. 4 cf.

pp 5-6 & 8-9 cf

p. 3 cf

2. The transfer of the rural dispensary at Kungal was suggested to the Dt. Bd. in G. O. No 64 P.H. & M. 10-1-35, as the daily average attendance at the dispensary was low (13.16). From the statement (Z) at page 8 of the G. O. referred to above (Z) it is observed that the rural disp. at Kungal is a subsidised one. If the Dt. Bd persists in retaining the rural dispensary there, the Govt may threaten to withhold the subsidy for the rural med. practitioner & midwife employed therein. As this course will, however, account to a punishment of the rural med. staff for the fault of the Dt. Bd. its adoption may be deferred for the present. The Dt. Bd. may be advised again to adopt the S. G's suggestion in the matter and it may be informed that if it persists in retaining the rural dispensary at Kungal, the Govt. may be driven to withhold the subsidy for the rural med. practitioner at Kungal. No/4c

3. As regards the S. G's suggestion for the transfer of the L. F. dispensary at Barkur to Brahmavar, the matter is one for the decision of the Dt. Bd. The S.G.'s suggestion may be commended to the Dt. Bd. for adoption. It cannot be forced

to adopt the S. G.'s Suggestion.

7/10 Pl Draft.
1.10.36.

A draft memo is submitted for approval

5/10.
8.10.36.

7/10

8/10/36

Memo No 7581-2 (22) P.H. dt 8.10.36
From the P.D.B. South Kanara.
No 8544/A.4/36 dt 21.12.36

The South Kanara Dt Bd is not in favour of the S.G.'s proposal to shift the L.F. dispensary at Barkur to Brahmavar. As already pointed out above, the Dt Bd cannot be compelled to adopt the S.G.'s suggestion.

2. As regards the transfer of the provision for the rural dispensary at Kunjal, the Dt Bd has resolved that if the existing rural dispensary at Kunjal cannot be retained in the same place, it may be shifted to Perdur. The place has been considered by the DHO to be × suitable for the opening of a new rural dispensary. His remarks are

× Included in the list of places suggested for the opening of new rural dispensaries

extracted below:-

An important interior town having numerous villages round about. There is a temple where frequent festivals are held. - cut off from all important centres by rivers. There was a rural dispensary at Pardoor and it was closed for low attendance."

As the rural dispensary formerly opened in the village was closed on account of low daily average attendance, it does not seem advisable to open a dispensary again in the same village. The Govt may direct that the subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal be closed. The P.W.D. S. Kanara may be asked to submit proposals for the transfer of the provision of the subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal to some other Suitable Centre.

The D.M.O's recommendation may be accepted
MS
7/1

~~3 A draft order is submitted below for approval~~ The file may be sent to the S. Anc. for perusal & remarks if any before it is sent to the Finance Dept. ~~for concurrence~~

MSK
5.1.37

MS
7/1

Sec
Sec MS

Con 8/1/37

S. G.

dfp 8/1

Office of the Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras,
18th January 1937.

U.O. Ref. 1552-H

7881 - 3 (82) MK
871/37

As the Government consider that the District Board cannot be forced to agree to the suggestion of trans-

transferring the Local Fund dispensary at Barkur to Brahmawar, the dispensary at Barkur may be retained.

As regards the subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal, the attendance at the dispensary does not justify its retention nor is it advisable to transfer its provision to ~~Pudur~~ Perdur as suggested by the District Board as the rural dispensary which was in existence at ~~Pudur~~ Perdur previously was closed for low attendance. The District Medical Officer South Kanara is requested to submit proposals for the transfer of the provision for subsidised rural dispensary at Kunjal to some other suitable centre and the Government will be addressed on receipt of his reply.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department.

Endorsement

The further communication promised by the S.G may be awaited Hilal D. 2.

CRO 2124. From the P.D.B. South Kanara no 8544/A4/36 dt 30-1-37 pp 17 cf.

A draft memo to the PDB South Kanara is submitted below for approval

HSK 9.2.37. 10-2-37

Nd 11/2

Memo no 7581-4 (D2) PH dt 12.2.37 pp 18 cf

CRO 5717. From the S.G no 1552-Hospls dt 7.4.37 pp 19-20 cf

The S.G recommends that the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal may be transferred to Tricarpar. The PDB South Kanara may be asked to place the recommendation before the Dt Bd and communicate its orders to Govt

2. A draft memo is submitted below for approval

HSK 8.4.37 9.4.37

Nd 9/4

CSR 10/4/37

Memo no 5717-1 (D2) PH dt 10.4.37 pp 21-22 cf

CRO 10075 - From the P.D.B. South Kanara Ref no 8544/A4/36 dt 7/4/37 - pp 23-24 cf

The South Kanara Dt Bd has resolved to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka

and to open a new subsidised rural dispensary at Trikaipur - (the place recommended by the S.A) in 1938-39. The remarks of the S.A may be obtained on the Bd's proposal

2. A draft memo is subd below for approval.

11/6/37
8.6.37

Memo no 5717-2 (22) PH dy 11.6.37

p25cf

p29cf.

5717-3 (22) PH dy 14-7-37)

The D.M.O. South Kanara has no objection to the proposal of the South Kanara Dt Bd to transfer the provision of the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka if a rural dispensary is to be opened shortly at Trikarpur. Otherwise he considers that the provision should be transferred to Trikarpur as it is more populous than Hiriadka

2 In Go no 1834 PH, dy 29.7.37, the Govt have declined to approve the proposal of the Dt Bd to open six 1938-39 new rural dispensaries at Trikarpur & certain other centres as the financial position of the Dt Bd is

unsatisfactory and it is inadvisable for the Dt Bd to embark on new schemes of recurring expenditure. The Dt Bd may therefore be advised to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Trikarpur and to consider the question of opening a new rural dispensary at Hiriadka later on along with the proposals of the Dt Bd to open rural dispensaries at certain other centres.

3 Orders may now issue directing the closure of the existing rural dispensary at Kungal. It is for the Dt Bd to submit proposals for utilising the existing provision for the opening of a rural dispensary at Trikarpur which has been considered to be suitable.

4. A draft order is submitted below for approval. It may be sent to the Finance Dept for acceptance

31-7-37

2.8.37

4/8

Fin

4/8/37

Fin

4/8

S.7.17-3 (D2) PH
4/8/37

23430

Fin. (Exp)

(U)/para 3 (Please see G.O. no 38 P.H. dt 8.5.36 and the E.P.H. dept.'s note from p. 6 ante)

The draft order may be accepted and the case returned to E.P.H.

DH 6.8.37

JKW 6.8.37

AS

EP.H.

EP.H. 7.8.37

EP.H. to

Fin. to 23436 Exp 9/8/37

KWK 10/8

S & G.H. Deptt.

The order may issued

10.8.37

11/8

42/ 24/ 24/

Edn & PH Dept

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..	13/8	
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Medical Institutions - Rural (Subsidised) South Kanara Dt - Dispensary at Kungal - closure - Ordered.

READ AGAIN G.O. No 339 PH, dated 8.5.36 19

" " " 19

READ *ALSO*:— From the D.D.B. S. Kanara No 8544/A-4-36 dt 3.8.36

No. From the , No. 2112-36 and 2-6-37, dated 19

" " S.G. No 1552 Hospitals dt 7/16-9-36, 5/7-4-37

" " " No 1193-2 Hospitals dt 29-7-37

" " " 19

Order Ms. Resolution Rout. No. 2013, dated 12. 8. 1937

Pb 12/8

As the daily average attendance at the rural dispensary at Kungal in the South Kanara Dt has been low for some years past and has not improved, the Govt consider that there is no justification for continuing it any longer. They accordingly direct that the dispensary be closed.

2 The D.D.B. South Kanara, is requested to give three months' notice to the rural medical practitioner as required

under clause (10) of the agreement to be executed by medical practitioners in charge of rural dispensaries appended to Go no 394 P H Dy 13-2-37. (c)

3 The Govt are unable to accept the proposal of the South Kanara Dt Bd to transfer the provision for the subsidised rural dispensary at Kungal to Hiriadka. As Trikarpur is more populous than Hiriadka and as it is considered to be in urgent need of medical relief. the Govt consider that the provision for the existing rural dispensary at Kungal should be transferred to Trikarpur and that the question of opening a rural dispensary at Hiriadka ~~should~~ may be considered along with the proposal to open new rural dispensaries at certain other centres when the financial position of the Dt Bd improves. The P D B. S. Kanara is requested to place the matter before the D Bd & communicate its decision to the Govt.

To

The P D B. S. Kanara
& To
S. a — (do)
A. G. Madras

D/W
Ath 13/8

31.7.37 / 2.8.37. 4/8/37